

## An Evaluation of effectiveness of Antibiotic Prophylaxis Protocols for Pediatric Surgical Procedures in an Egyptian Teaching Hospital

Aya M. Essawy<sup>1\*</sup>, Nagwa A. Sabri<sup>2</sup> and Ayman A. Al Baghdady<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Bachelor of pharmaceutical sciences, Misr International University, Cairo, Egypt

<sup>2</sup>Head of clinical pharmacy department, Faculty of pharmacy, Ain Shams university, Cairo, Egypt

<sup>3</sup>Department of Pediatric Surgery, Faculty of Medicine, Ain Shams University, Cairo, Egypt

### Abstract

**Background:** Postoperative wound infection is an infection in the tissues of the incision and operative area. It can occur from 1 day to many years after an operation but commonly occurs between the fifth and tenth days after surgery.

Keeping in view the prevalence of the wound infections in our set up, this study was designed to evaluate the frequency, the antimicrobial prophylactic regimen, the hospital guidelines for surgical site infection prophylaxis and its adherence, to the internationally published guidelines.

**Patients and settings:** An observational Prospective study is used to detect the prescription, dosage, administration, interactions, and errors of peri-operative antibiotics. The study proceeded at Ain Shams University hospitals, pediatric surgery department which consists of three units the ward, the ICU, and the operation unit. There is no pharmacy inside the unit. Drugs are dispensed weekly from the floor pharmacy to the nurse and stored in the stock cabinet. Hundred surgical pediatric patients (major and minor surgeries) were enrolled.

Clinical information in physician's orders, laboratory test results, physician's progress notes, anesthesia reports were reviewed. Verbally communicated information from the parents about the medical history of patients was included.

Medical records were screened for evidence of medication error and interactions occurrence taking into consideration that all the medication orders were handwritten.

The data was obtained either directly from the patient, or by observations or from the patient's file. The following data were recorded: gender, age, dates of admission, surgery and discharge.

**Results:** The study results showed that 26 patients had acquired surgical site infections (26%). The infected cases are divided according to wound class as 4(8.9%) of the clean wound class, 13(39.4%) of the clean contaminated, 8(47.1%) of the contaminated wound class and 1(20%) of the dirty wound class have got surgical site infection. The adherence to the hospital protocol was 71% but 0% to the international protocols.

**Conclusion:** Adherence to the international guidelines is recommended to minimize the high rate of surgical site infections. Hospital should follow the recommended doses, regimen, and drug choice. Physicians, pharmacists, and nurses must be revised their roles in minimizing the infection rate.

### Introduction

The definition of wound infection is revised by the centers for Disease Control (CDC) in 1992, by creating the definition of "surgical site infection" [SSI] which prevents the confusion between surgical incision infection and traumatic wound infection. SSIs contribute to the morbidity and mortality which are associated with surgeries, although most of SSIs are superficial [1].

Surgical site infection (SSI) previously termed postoperative wound infection is defined as that infection presenting up to 30 days after a surgical procedure if no prosthetic is placed and up to 1 year if a prosthetic is implanted in the patient. Based on a survey data there were over 290,000 infections in hospitalized patients in the US in the year 2002 of these, SSI was estimated to be directly responsible for 8205 deaths in surgical patients that year. SSI results in patient discomfort, prolonged length of hospital stay and increased cost [2].

The risk of developing SSI is affected by many factors which includes the degree of microbial contamination of the operation site indicated by wound class as clean, clean contaminated, contaminated and dirty, and also by patient age, length of surgery, pre-operative shaving of the operative site, hypothermia and co morbidities e.g. diabetes and obesity [3].

Surgical site infections are about 20% of healthcare associated infections. Failure of wound healing result in developing SSIs which

increases treatment cost as it increases hospital stay time, admission to intensive care unit and higher post operative mortality. For each infected patient hospital cost is estimated at US\$3937, therefore surgeons and other healthcare professionals are interested in prevention of SSIs [4].

Surgical wound classification is an indicator for infectious risk assessment, perioperative protocol development and making of surgical decision. Wounds are classified into 4 classes clean, clean-contaminated, contaminated, and dirty wounds with postoperative rates of SSI as 1-5%, 3-11%, 10-17%, and over 27%, respectively [5].

According to the Center for disease control (CDC) there are four types of wound class illustrated as following [6]:

**\*Corresponding Author:** Aya M. Essawy, Bachelor of pharmaceutical sciences, Misr International University, Cairo, Egypt; E-mail: [aya.essawy@gmail.com](mailto:aya.essawy@gmail.com)

**Citation:** Essawy AM, Sabri NA, Al Baghdady AA (2014) An Evaluation of effectiveness of Antibiotic Prophylaxis Protocols for Pediatric Surgical Procedures in an Egyptian Teaching Hospital. Int J Pharm Sci Res 1: 104. doi: <http://dx.doi.org/10.15344/2394-1502/2014/104>

**Copyright:** © 2014 Essawy et al. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original author and source are credited.

Clean	No acute inflammation or entry into GI, respiratory, GU, or biliary tracts; no break in aseptic technique occurs; wounds primarily closed
Clean contaminated	Elective, controlled opening of GI, respiratory, biliary, or GU tracts without significant spillage; clean wounds with major break in sterile technique
Contaminated	Penetrating trauma (<4-hr old); major technique break or major spillage from GI tract; acute, nonpurulent inflammation
Dirty	Penetrating trauma (>4-hr old); purulence or abscess (active infectious process); preoperative perforation of viscera

The aim of this prospective observational study is to assess the procedures of antibiotics use as prophylaxis of surgical site infections in pediatric patients in the pediatric surgery department, in a teaching university hospital, and the adherence of the hospital to the published international literature reviews about antimicrobial prophylaxis protocols.

## Patients and Settings

### Design

Observational Prospective study

### Setting

Teaching University hospital, pediatric surgery department, the department consists of three units the ward, the ICU, and the operation unit. There is no pharmacy inside the unit. Drugs are dispensed weekly from the floor pharmacy to the nurse and stored in the stock cabinet.

### Patients

Hundred surgical pediatric patients (major and minor surgeries) were enrolled.

### Inclusion criteria

All surgical pediatric patients are included in this study.

### Exclusion criteria

No exclusion criteria

### Method

- Hundred patients (73 males and 27 females) were admitted to the pediatric surgery clinic to evaluate their cases and reserving dates for unit admission.
- At the appointed date the patient was asked to come with complete blood picture test.
- Patients were either admitted directly to the operation room on the day of arrivals according to a previously prepared list or they admitted to the ward unit till the day of operation which was on from 24-72 hours after arrivals.
- After the operation patients were either sent again to the ward or to the ICU according to their cases.

- Then patients were discharged from the hospital with a card of following contains the diagnosis, operation name, date of discharge, prescribed medication after discharge and assigned date of following.

**Data in this study is classified into several classes {illustrated as Data sheets (1-5)}:**

1. Patient demographic data: age, sex, weight.
  2. Clinical data: diagnosis, medical history, past history, family history, operation history.
  3. Operation type is determined.
  4. Risk Factors are reported for each patient.
  5. Medicines that are prescribed for each patient are reviewed for the correct dose, proper prescription, and interactions.
- Data were tabulated to go through statistical tests. Tests used were chi square test and 95% confidence interval.

## Results and Discussion

A total of 100 patients were enrolled in the work. Patients are consisted of 66 (66%) infants (0-24 months), and 34 (34%) children (more than 24 months). The mean age is  $27.52 \pm 35.989$ .

As shown in table 1 male patients are 73 (73%) and the female patients are 27 (27%).19(26%) male patients had acquired surgical site infection and 7(25.9%) female patients got surgical site infection. The previous results were in compliance with those found by Ahmed M. et al. [7] where males were more prone to surgical site infections than females. On the contrary of that was found by Afifi I. et al. [8] as the infection rate is almost similar.

By using Chi square test and 95% confidence interval in the statistical analysis we found the following:

In this study there are 60 (60%) major operations and 40 (40%) minor operations. 23(38.3) patients had major operation got surgical site infection and 3(7.5%) patients had minor operations got surgical site infection. Using Chi square test shows that there is a relationship between operation type and getting surgical site infection. As the P value = 0.001.

Operation type is considered as a risk factor of getting SSI. 95% confidence interval is (0.036- 0.472) as shown in table 1. Twenty six patients out of the 100 cases had acquired surgical site infections (26%) -photos of some infections (Figures 1-3). In this study a total 100 patients had undergone different types of surgery in the pediatric hospital. This percentage is considered a high percentage when it is compared with Ahmed M. et al. [7], Hafez S. et al. [9] and Petrosillo N. et al. [10].It is worthy to mention that the results obtained by Afifi I. et al. [8] were comparable.

Item		Total no.	Infected no.	Rate	P value (sig<0.05)	95% CI	
						lower	upper
Sex	Male	73	19	26	0.992	0.363	2.723
	Female	27	7	25.9			
Operation Type	Major	60	23	38.3	0.001	0.036	0.472
	Minor	40	3	7.5			
>48h pre op. Hosp.		24	14	58.3	0.000	2.695	20.689

Table 1: statistical analysis of sex, operation type, and the risk factor.



Figure 1: surgical multi sites infection case.



Figure 2: Appendicitis case got surgical site infection.



Figure 3: surgical site infection case of closure of ileostomy.

Differences in the rate of infection may be attributed to difference of the hospital population, underlying diseases. Differences in clinical procedures and the extent of infection control measures, difference in the hospitals environment. It can also be attributed to the high rate which resulted in this study to that the study is done in a teaching

university hospital to which complex surgical cases are referred [8].

Table 2 illustrates wound class data that result in 4(8.9%) of the clean wound class, 13(39.4%) of the clean contaminated wound class, 8(47.1%) of the contaminated wound class, and 1(20%) of the dirty wound class got surgical site infections. Using Chi square test shows that there is a relationship between wound class and getting surgical site infection. As the P value = 0.003.

Item	Total no.	Infected no.	Rate	P value (sig<0.05)	95% CI	
					lower	upper
Wound class	Clean	45	4	8.9	0.003	NA
	Clean-contaminated	33	13	39.4		
	Contaminated	17	8	47.1		
	Dirty	5	1	20		

Table 2: statistical analysis of wound class: clean, clean contaminated, contaminated and dirty.

From the results presented it was apparent that by applying the wound class analysis 4(8.9%) were of the clean wound class, 13(39.4%) were of the clean contaminated, 8(47.1%) were of the contaminated wound class and 1(20%) were of the dirty wound class have acquired surgical site infection. The previously mentioned results were in agreement with Ahmed M. et al. [7] where clean surgical cases were 7.2% and amongst clean-contaminated cases were 19.4% and with Wassef M., et al [12] where 8.2% clean wounds, 13.8% clean contaminated and 10.1% contaminated wound cases.

On the contrary, the results obtained by Khan M. et al [11] showed that 4.88% were clean cases, 8.39% were clean contaminated cases, and 20.45% were contaminated or dirty cases.

Postoperative infections after clean procedures are most probably caused by bacteria that are part of the skin flora; exogenous sources may also be a factor, such as infected or colonized healthcare workers, the operating room environment or instruments [13]. On evaluation of the hospital adherence to the international guidelines it was found that 100 cases their prophylaxis antibiotic regimens had not adhere to the hospital guidelines.

#### After studying the hospital guidelines for the prophylaxis of surgical site infections the following appeared:

1. The guidelines ignore the definition of prophylactic antibiotic as It should be given only pre operative before the incision by half an hour and if the duration of surgery exceeds the half life of the given drug the anesthesiologist should give another shot during the operation time.
2. The prophylactic antibiotic should be discontinued within 24 hours postoperatively.
3. The guidelines also ignores the determination of cephalosporins generation as the used generations in the hospital are third and fourth generation which definitely increases the resistance.

About the adherence of international guidelines shown in (tables 3, 4) we found that there was completely ignorance to those guidelines, which may be the cause the high incidence that was reported of surgical site infections in this study.

Recommended intravenous antibiotics for surgical procedures	Common pathogens	Recommended antibiotic prophylaxis	Post operative duration
Cardiac Heart surgery+, PDA (patent ductus arteriosus), ASD/VSD (atrial/ventricular septal defect), Glenn Shunt, valve replair/replacement, prosthetic graft ertion Aortic reconstructionins	<i>S. epidermidis</i> , <i>S. aureus</i>	Cefazolin OR Vancomycin for known MRSA or high risk for MRSA, or major reaction to beta-lactams++	Discontinue within 48/72 hrs of surgical end time
Gastrointestinal esophageal, gastroduodenal PEG placement/ revision/ conversion to other feeding tubes OR high-risk conditions	Enteric gram-negative bacilli, gram positive cocci	For high risk+++; Cefazolin If major reaction to beta-lactams+++: Clindamycin plus Gentamicin. For high risk*: Cefazolin If major reaction to beta-lactam++:clindamycin plus Gentamicin Cefoxitin OR Ampicillin/sulbactam ORCefazolin plus Metronidazole If major reaction to beta-lactams++: Clindamycin plus Gentamicin	Discontinue within 24 hrs of surgical end time
Biliary, including lap cholecystectomy	Enteric gram-negative bacilli, gram positive cocci, clostridia		
Colorectal** Appendectomy or ruptured viscus	Enteric gram negative bacilli, anaerobes, enterococci		
Head and Neck Surgery Incision through oral or pharyngeal mucosa, lower jaw fraction, removal of esophagus pouch	Anaerobes, enteric gram-negative bacilli, <i>S.aureus</i>	Cefazolin OR If major reaction to beta-lactams++: Clindamycin plus Gentamicin	Discontinue within 24 hrs of surgical end time
Neurosurgery## Craniotomy, shunt placement/revision, insertion of pump/reservoir, spinal procedure (laminectomy, fusion or cord decompression)	<i>S. aureus</i> , <i>S. epidermidis</i>	Cefazolin OR Vancomycin for known MRSA or high risk for MRSA, or major reaction to beta-lactams++	Discontinue within 24 hrs of surgical end time
Orthopedic Spinal procedures or implantation of hardware If tourniquet is used, give antibiotic before tourniquet inflation	<i>S. epidermidis</i> , <i>S. aureus</i>	Cefazolin or Cefepime and Vancomycin for known MRSA or high risk for MRSA, or major reaction to beta-lactams++	Discontinue within 24 hrs of surgical end time
Thoracic Lung resection, VATS	<i>S. aureus</i> , <i>S. epidermidis</i> , streptococci, enteric gram-negative bacilli##	Cefazolin OR Vancomycin or Clindamycin for known MRSA or high risk for MRSA, or major reaction to beta-lactams++	Discontinue within 24 hrs of surgical end time
Vascular (see Cardiac) Extremity amputation for ischemia, vascular access for hemodialysis	<i>S. aureus</i> , <i>S. epidermidis</i> , enteric gram-negative bacill	Cefazolin OR Vancomycin OR Clindamycin for known MRSA or high risk for MRSA, or major reaction to beta-actams++	Discontinue within 24 hrs of surgical end time
Gynecologic	Enteric gram negative bacilli, anaerobes, Gp B strep, enterococci	Cefoxitin OR Ampicillin plus Metronidazole plus Gentamicin If major reaction to beta-lactam++: Clindamycin plus Gentamicin	Discontinue within 24 hrs of surgical end time
Genitourinary Bladder augmentation, pyeloplasty	Enteric gramnegative bacilli, anaerobes, enterococci	For high risk only***: Cefazolin OR Cefoxitin OR Ampicillin plus Metronidazole plusGentamicin If major reaction to beta-lactam++: Clindamycin plus Gentamicin	Discontinue within 24 hrs of surgical end time

Table 4: International published guidelines.

+For open-heart surgery only: use maximum cefazolin 2 gm; redose cefazolin when patient is removed from bypass; alternative to cefazolin monotherapy is cefazolin plus vancomycin for patients at high risk for MRSA. (Procedure involves insertion of prosthetic vaor vascular graft).

++Major reactions include anaphylaxis, hives, shortness of breath, wheezing, edema. For minor reactions (nausea, vomiting, diarrhea, mild rash, itching), cephalosporins may still be used.

+++High risk gastroduodenal: morbid obesity, esophageal obstruction, decreased gastric acidity or decreased gastrointestinal motility

\*High risk biliary: acute cholecystitis, non-functioning gall bladder, obstructive jaundice or common duct stones

\*\*Colorectal procedures: Oral prophylaxis prior to surgery - After appropriate diet and catharsis, 1 gram of neomycin plus 1 gram of erythromycin at 1 pm, 2 pm, and 11 pm or 2 grams of neomycin plus 2 grams of metronidazole at 7 pm and 11pm the day before an 8 am day operation

\*\*\*High risk genitourinary: urine culture positive or unavailable, preoperative catheter, transrectal prosthetic biopsy, placement of prosthetic material

##Vascular procedures: Clostridia can also be present in lower extremity amputation for ischemia [14-18].

Antibiotic agent	Pediatric intravenous (a)	Infusion time (minutes)	Timing of first	Intraoperative redosing for normal renal function
Ampicillin/Sulbactam	DOSE DULT DOSE50 mg/kg (1.5 - 3 gm)	30	DOSE Begin 60 min or less before	Every 3 hrs
Cefazolin	25 mg/kg (max 1 gm; if greater than 80 kg, use 2 gm)	30	incision Begin 60 min or less before incision	Every 4 hrs
Cefoxitin	40 mg/kg (1-2 gm)	30	Begin 60 min or less before	Every 3 hrs
Cefepime	50mg/kg (1-2 gm)	30	incision Begin 60 min or less before	Every 4 hrs
Clindamycin	10 mg/kg (600-900 mg)	30	incision Begin 60 min or less before	Every 6 hrs
Gentamicin	2.5 mg/kg (120 mg if > 80 kg)	30	incision Begin 60 min or less before	Every 8 hrs
Metronidazole	10 mg/kg (500 mg)	30	incision Begin 60 min or less before	Every 6 hrs
Vancomycin	15 mg/kg (1 gm if > 50 kg)	60	incision Begin 60 to 120 min before incision	Every 12 hrs

Table 3: Published Dosing and Timing of Antibiotic Agents used for Surgical Prophylaxis.

The obviously appeared SSI risk factor in this study was the more than 48 hours preoperative hospitalization. There are 24 patients had this risk factor. 10(41.7%) of patients who have risk factor have not got surgical site infection. 14(58.3%) of patients who have risk factor have got surgical site infection.

From the highly significant P value we can assure that, there is a relationship between presence of risk factors and getting surgical site infection." Also from the 95% confidence interval we can conclude that staying more than 48 hours pre-operative in hospital is considered as a risk factor for developing surgical site infection, which is comparable to the result of Hafez S. et al. [9] (p value 0.007).

## Conclusion

From the previously discussed study results we can conclude that; there is a high surgical site infection rate in the hospital when compared with the world wide rates or previously published researches outside Egypt.

High rates of infection may be referred to the low hygienic procedures applied during surgical operations. To minimize these rates the hospital is recommended to establish a clinical pharmacy department in the hospital with effective apparent roles and authorities, apply the international guideline in prophylactic antibiotic regimen in drug

choice, drug dose and timing of injection. Infection Control team in the hospital must have an effective role in this mission. Physicians, Pharmacists, and nurses are recommended to assure the completely adherence to the roles published by the WHO.

## References

- Narasinga Rao Bandaru, Ranga Rao A, Vijayananda Prasad K, Rama Murty DVSS (2012) A Prospective Study of Postoperative Wound Infections in a Teaching Hospital of Rural Setup. *Journal of clinical and diagnostic research* 6: 1266-1271.
- Khairy GA, Kambal AM, Al-Dohayan AA, Al-Shehri MY, Zubaidi AM, et al. (2011) Surgical Site Infection in a Teaching Hospital: A Prospective Study. *Journal of Taibah University Medical Sciences* 6: 114-120.
- Rana DA, Malhotra SD, Patel VJ (2013) Inappropriate surgical chemoprophylaxis and surgical site infection rate at a tertiary care teaching hospital. *The Brazilian Journal of Infectious Diseases* 17: 48-53.
- Mchugh S, Collins C, Corrigan M, Hill A, Humphreys H (2011) The role of topical antibiotics used as prophylaxis in surgical site infection prevention. *J Antimicrob Chemother* 66: 693-701.
- Ortega G, Rhee DS, Papandria DJ, Yang J, Ibrahim AM, et al. (2012) An evaluation of surgical site infections by wound classification system using the ACS-NSQIP. *J Surg Res* 174: 33-38.
- Mangram AJ, Horan TC, Pearson ML, Silver LC, Jarvis WR (1999) Guideline for prevention of surgical site infection, 1999. *Hospital Infection Control Practices Advisory Committee* 20: 250-278.
- Ahmed M, Alam S, Khan O, Manzar S (2007) Post operative wound infection: A surgeon's dilemma. *Pakistan journal of surgery* 23: 41-47.
- Affif IK, Labah EA, Ayad KM (2009) Surgical Site Infections after Elective General Surgery in Tanta University Hospital: Rate, Risk factors and Microbiological profile. *Egyptian journal of medical microbiology* 18: 61-72.
- Hafez S, Saied T, Hasan E, Elnawasany M, Ahmad E, et al. (2012) Incidence and modifiable risk factors of surveillance of surgical site infections in Egypt: A prospective study. *Am J Infect Control* 40: 426-430.
- Petrosillo N, Drapeau CM, Nicastrì E, Martini L, Ippolito G, et al. (2008) Surgical site infections in Italian hospitals: a prospective multicenter study. *BMC Infect Dis* 8: 34.
- Khan M, Khalil J, Rooh-ul-Muqim, Zarin M, Touseef Ul Hassan, et al. (2011) Rate and risk factors for surgical site infection at a tertiary care facility in Peshawar, Pakistan. *J Ayub Med Coll Abbottabad* 23: 15-18.
- Wassef MA, Hussein A, Abdul Rahman EM, El-Sherif RH (2012) A prospective surveillance of surgical site infections: Study for efficacy of preoperative antibiotic prophylaxis. *African journal of microbiology research*. 6: 3072-3078.
- ElBur AI, Yousif M, ElSayed A, AbdelRahman M (2012) Prevalence and predictors of wound infection in elective clean and clean/ contaminated surgery in Khartoum teaching hospital, Sudan. *International journal of infection control* 8: i4.
- Auwaerter P (2010) Surgical prophylaxis. *ABX guide Johns Hopkins*.
- Johnson R (2013) Antibiotic prophylaxis for surgical procedures. *Merck manual, Care of the surgical patient*.
- Choc children's hospital (2010) Antibiotic prophylaxis for surgery guideline.
- Card R, Sawyer M, Degnan B, Harder K, Kemper J, et al. (2014) Institute for Clinical Systems Improvement. *Perioperative Protocol*.
- Scottish Intercollegiate Guidelines Network (SIGN). Antibiotic prophylaxis in surgery. *Edinburgh: SIGN; 2008. (SIGN publication no.104)*.